



Personal and Confidential

CJC File: 25-1065

February 10, 2026

Francesco Longo

By email: flongo11@gmail.com

Dear Francesco Longo,

I am responding to your correspondence to the Canadian Judicial Council (Council) sent between October 15, 2025 and October 29, 2025, in which you say you are filing an evidence package documenting systematic corruption in the Canadian justice system with the courts. You further say that this evidence package documents systematic corruption in *R. v. Longo*.

Mandate of the Council

The mandate of the Council in matters of judicial conduct includes determining whether a recommendation should be made to the Minister of Justice that a federally appointed judge be removed from office by Parliament. The reasons for removal are set out in section 80 of the *Judges Act* and address infirmity, misconduct, failure in the due execution of judicial office, and when the judge is in a position that a reasonable, fair-minded and informed observer would consider to be incompatible with the due execution of judicial office. In the alternative, the Council may determine that other remedial measures are appropriate in some instances as per section 102 of the *Judges Act*, or dismiss the complaint.

Reasons for dismissing your complaint


It is important to note that the Council's authority over judicial conduct is limited to the conduct of federally appointed judges, only. In your correspondence, you refer to the Ontario Court of Justice but not to any federal court. You have not made any complaint about a judge appointed by the federal government. Furthermore, your correspondence does not specify any recognizable alleged misconduct on the part of any federally appointed judge. Therefore, the Council does not have any jurisdiction to deal with your correspondence.

To reiterate, subsection 86(1) of the *Judges Act* reads as follows:

86 (1) Complaints may be made to the Council, in the form specified by the Council, in respect of a judge of a superior court for any reason referred to in paragraphs 80(a) to (d).

Your matter is therefore dismissed pursuant to paragraphs 6.7(2) (g) and (h) of the *Canadian Judicial Council Procedures for the Review of Complaints or Allegations About Federally Appointed Judges (Review Procedures (2025))* because it fails to specify allegations and it fails to specify the name of the judge.

Sincerely,


R.M.

Screening Officer