



SYNC 3.0 — Outer-Book Audit Suite

Board / Investor Version, Final Version, and Municipal Policy Version

Version	3.0 Final Consensus Suite
Date	2026-06-25
Prepared For	SYNC Protocol Review
Source Basis	Merged from three SYNC 3.0 audits dated June 25, 2026
Document Use	Executive, capital, utility, municipal policy, and compliance review

This merged SYNC 3.0 suite consolidates the overlapping findings of three independent June 25, 2026 audit texts into one controlling record. The consensus is sharp: SYNC 3.0 is viable only when the efficiency architecture is inseparably coupled to the binding collapse-prevention governance layer. The suite therefore preserves the strongest common findings: greenfield-only PFAS-free waterless compute, conditional thermal symbiosis, corrected edge inference efficiency, plaintext attested telemetry as baseline, and mandatory demand caps,

interconnection governance, and automated load flexibility as the non-negotiable conditions of legitimacy.

Page 1

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Reader Guide and Contents

This document is a merged consensus suite. It does not repeat every source claim; it reconciles them into the strongest common posture that can be defended before capital providers, utilities, municipalities, and public reviewers.

Section	Primary Audience	What It Emphasizes
Board / Investor Version	Boards, investment committees, capital partners, infrastructure financiers	Buildability, financeability, interconnection survivability, denial risk, gating discipline, and what is fundable versus excluded.
Board / Investor Version — Capital Committee Screen	Capital committees, credit, development, and risk officers	Go / conditional / no-go logic, required attachments, underwriting discipline, and failure triggers.
Final Version	Executive, technical, legal, utility, and audit review	Controlling ten-pillar record, merged quantitative ranges, mandatory versus optional elements, and final consensus position.
Final Version — Risk Register and Quantitative Record	Risk, compliance, engineering, and financing teams	Preserved thresholds, material uncertainties, and required controls where the source audits vary in detail.
Municipal Policy Version	Municipal planners, utilities, regulators, water authorities, public-interest counsel	Enforceability, regulator-readable reporting, subscribed-load caps, interconnection occupancy, water legitimacy, and public-system protection.
Municipal Policy Version — Institutional Implementation Posture	Public agencies and counterparties	Conditions of approval, continued-operation obligations, and the legal posture for permitting SYNC 3.0.
Closing / Final Sign-Off	All audiences	Unified recommendation, controlling disposition, and authorship record.

Controlling suite conclusion: SYNC 3.0 is not approved as a generic efficiency brand. It is conditionally approvable only as a greenfield, resource-bounded, governance-attached utility node. If Pillars 5 through 7 are absent or softened, the consensus suite no longer supports the claim that the protocol prevents municipal grid or aquifer collapse.

The merged ten-pillar consensus used throughout this suite is: (1) PFAS-free single-phase ZLD / Waterless Compute; (2) Thermal Symbiosis / Heat Reuse; (3) Edge Inference Efficiency Mandate; (4) Verified Telemetry with plaintext metering baseline and optional ZKP enhanced tier; (5) Hard Demand Caps; (6) Interconnection Limit Ledger; (7) Automated Load-Flexibility / Curtailment Mandate; (8) Clean Energy Procurement and Storage Layer; (9) Human Sovereign / Community Benefit Layer; and (10) Circular Material and Bioregional Integration Layer.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



SYNC 3.0 — Board / Investor Version

Capital Committee Memo — Approval Only with BindingPage 3
Governance

Board / Investor Version

Capital conclusion: SYNC 3.0 is not a growth-at-any-cost compute platform. It is a selective infrastructure standard designed to make large-load development financeable in constrained markets. The merged audits agree on one point above all others: do not fund the efficiency stack without the governance stack. If a sponsor wants the cooling and compute advantages of Pillars 1 through 4 while resisting the hard demand caps, interconnection occupancy controls, and flexible-load obligations of Pillars 5 through 7, the investment should be rejected.

Pillar or Issue	Capital View	Board Position
Pillar 1 — PFAS-free ZLD / Waterless Compute	Strong where water stress and permitting risk are real; supports municipal defensibility	Go for greenfield only
Pillar 2 — Thermal Symbiosis / Heat Reuse	Revenue is real only when offtake is proximate, contracted, and technically deliverable	Conditional go
Pillar 3 — Edge Inference Efficiency Mandate	Economically useful after rewrite; do not underwrite neuromorphic generality	Go after corrected framing
Pillar 4 — Verified Telemetry	Plaintext attested metering is enough for baseline compliance; ZKP adds niche value only	Optional enhanced tier
Pillars 5–7 — Collapse-Prevention Governance Layer	These determine interconnection survivability, ratepayer defensibility, and long-term operating legitimacy	Mandatory, not negotiable
Pillars 8–10 — Expansion Layer	Strengthen resilience, public legitimacy, and long-term differentiation but do not rescue a weak core	Selective enhancement

Capital Takeaways

- **Underwrite buildability, not branding.** The core value of SYNC 3.0 is lower denial risk in water-stressed and interconnection-constrained territories.
- **Do not count generic heat revenue.** Treat thermal symbiosis as upside only when anchor demand is real, near, and signed.

- **Do not permit rebound demand to hide inside efficiency claims.**

The merged audits are explicit: the original efficiency pillars alone do not prevent collapse because cheaper compute drives more aggregate demand.

- **Do not confuse optional telemetry sophistication with core compliance.** Baseline attested plaintext metering is sufficient; over-complex reporting does not fix weak governance.

Board call: SYNC 3.0 clears a financing discussion only as a governance-attached utility node. It does not clear as an uncapped hyperscale growth play. The real return case is not a marketing-grade efficiency narrative; it is the improved probability of approval, energization, and lawful continued operation.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Board / Investor Version — Capital Committee Screen

The merged audits support staged deployment, not unrestricted rollout. A sponsor should be expected to clear the following screen before capital committee approval.

Question	Underwriting Discipline	Disposition
Is the project greenfield and resource-constrained enough to justify Pillar 1?	Waterless design should solve a real siting, permitting, or basin-stress problem	Required for approval
Is heat reuse signed and bankable, not merely conceptually possible?	Require anchor demand within roughly 3–4 km, delivery pathway, and fallback rejection plan	Conditional approval only
Has Pillar 3 been framed around proven techniques rather than neuromorphic overreach?	Quantization, distillation, pruning, scheduling, and inference ASIC deployment only	Required for approval
Are Pillars 5–7 embedded in permits, contracts, tariffs, and interconnection documents?	No voluntary-only governance architecture should pass investment review	Non-negotiable
Does the economic case acknowledge variability across sites?	CapEx and IRR must be presented as ranges, not as one guaranteed fixed outcome	Required disclosure

No-Go Triggers

- No enforceable subscribed-load cap tied to utility and municipal approval.
- No regulator-readable interconnection ledger or equivalent auditable capacity register.
- No curtailment commitment capable of preserving public-system priority during stress.
- No honest distinction between infrastructure CapEx envelope and broader full-campus / IT fit-out economics.
- No public legitimacy plan through community benefit, transparency, and continued-operation accountability.

Capital committee classification: conditional go at pilot scale; no-go for blanket scale-out until cap, ledger, curtailment, and public-benefit controls are locked.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



SYNC 3.0 — Final Version

Controlling Record — Executive, Technical, Legal, and Utility
Review

Page 5

Final Version

This is the controlling SYNC 3.0 record derived from the three June 25, 2026 source audits. It preserves the common engineering position and narrows the claims to those that can survive executive, technical, legal, and municipal scrutiny.

Pillar	Category	Status	Final Position
Pillar 1 — PFAS-free Single-Phase ZLD / Waterless Compute	Cooling	Deploy	Greenfield only; retrofit is economically irrational or not recommended; direct cooling-water dependency should approach zero.
Pillar 2 — Thermal Symbiosis / Heat Reuse	Heat Export	Conditional Deploy	Real anchor demand required within roughly 3–4 km; direct-to-chip preferred where needed; storage and fallback rejection path required.
Pillar 3 — Edge Inference Efficiency Mandate	Compute	Corrected Deployment Basis	Quantization, distillation, pruning, scheduling, and inference ASICs / SoCs; do not claim generalized neuromorphic replacement of transformers.
Pillar 4 — Verified Telemetry	Reporting	Baseline + Optional Enhanced Tier	Plaintext smart metering with cryptographic attestation is the baseline; ZKP remains optional for privacy-sensitive applications.
Pillar 5 — Hard Demand Caps	Grid Governance	Mandatory	The principal rebound-control mechanism; absolute imported power and energy limits must be binding.
Pillar 6 — Interconnection	Grid Governance	Mandatory	Transparent or regulator-held capacity ledger

Pillar	Category	Status	Final Position
Limit Ledger			preventing phantom queue occupancy and over-allocation.
Pillar 7 — Automated Load-Flexibility / Curtailment Mandate	Grid Governance	Mandatory	Flexible-load and shed capability required to convert the node from passive sink to controllable grid asset.
Pillar 8 — Clean Energy Procurement and Storage Layer	Energy	Strategic Layer	Behind-the-meter generation, batteries, and clean procurement improve resilience and reduce ratepayer conflict.
Pillar 9 — Human Sovereign / Community Benefit Layer	Social Contract	Required for Social Legitimacy	Community benefit, workforce, transparency, and public-audit rights should be metered as part of the operating posture.
Pillar 10 — Circular Material and Bioregional Integration Layer	Materials / Regional Integration	Emerging but Aligned	Source audits vary in naming, but align around circular hardware, material accountability, and bioregional closure for heat, water, and land-use impact.

Controlling merged conclusion: The original efficiency architecture does not prevent collapse on its own. SYNC 3.0 becomes approvable only when rebound demand is bounded by mandatory caps, ledged interconnection rights, and enforceable flexible-load behavior.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@sympioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Final Version — Quantitative Record

Quantitative claims vary across the three source audits. The figures below are preserved as merged decision ranges or controlling thresholds for the suite, not as guaranteed single-point outcomes.

Preserved Quantitative Record	Merged Value / Threshold	Suite Treatment
PUE target	1.03–1.08, with optimized cases at or below 1.06	Retained as the high-performance envelope for liquid-cooled greenfield design; do not present as universal outcome.
Cooling water target	Near-zero, approximately 0.00 to 0.01 L/kWh	Retained as the operational water target for closed-loop compute cooling; distinguish direct operational water from broader upstream water externalities.
Heat export threshold	At or above 120°F / 49°C	Retained as minimum useful export band; direct-to-chip preferred where higher and steadier delivery temperatures are required.
Flexible IT load design point	~20%, with 15% preserved as lower-bound threshold in some source audits	Retained as operational design range; curtailable in 5–15 minutes depending on reliability event and agreement class.
Aquifer Stress Index trigger	ASI > 0.40	Retained as a moratorium or heightened review trigger where adopted by basin governance.
Reference facility scale	2 GW greenfield	Retained as the suite's merged reference scale; staged pilot deployment is still recommended before unrestricted scale-out.
Infrastructure CapEx baseline	\$720M–\$980M	Retained as the infrastructure envelope preserved by the source audits; it should not be confused with total hyperscale IT hardware fit-out or full-campus all-in cost.

Preserved Quantitative Record	Merged Value / Threshold	Suite Treatment
BESS reference	400 MW / 800 MWh where applicable	Retained as a representative grid-interactive storage reference, not a mandatory one-size-fits-all requirement.
Levered IRR	12.8%–21.4%	Retained as the merged financeable range under differing assumptions for compliance, incentives, monetization, and site quality.
Community / municipal benefit routing	2–4% of profits where adopted	Retained as a late-stage governance and legitimacy structure, not a universal fixed requirement in every jurisdiction.

Final Version — Risk Register

Risk	Why It Matters	Required Control
Jevons paradox / rebound demand	Efficiency gains alone can accelerate total compute and total load growth instead of constraining it.	Make Pillars 5–7 binding; cap imported power and energy at the point of interconnection and enforce shed capability.
Phantom queue / speculative interconnection hoarding	Unverified capacity claims distort local planning and can crowd out other municipal loads.	Use regulator-held or cryptographically attested interconnection limit ledgers with auditable occupancy.
Thermal offtake stranded asset risk	Heat reuse infrastructure can become underutilized if demand is distant, seasonal, weakly contracted, or technically mismatched.	Require anchor demand within roughly 3–4 km, storage planning, and signed offtake before major thermal CapEx is approved.
Retrofit overreach	Attempting to force immersion-first logic into legacy facilities can destroy capital efficiency and complicate operations.	Keep the protocol greenfield-first unless site-specific analysis proves otherwise.
Sensor attestation weakness	Cryptographic proofs are worthless if the underlying	Use calibrated metering, secure elements or trusted hardware roots, auditable

Risk	Why It Matters	Required Control
	meter, calibration process, or signing chain is weak.	signing paths, and regulator-readable raw data.
Regulatory non-compliance	The protocol fails as collapse prevention if the governance layer remains voluntary or is not embedded in permits and agreements.	Tie Pillars 5–7 to interconnection, water permitting, continued operation, and emergency reliability obligations.
PFAS / fluid supply chain exposure	Unsupported or controversial coolant families create procurement, compliance, and lifecycle liabilities.	Specify PFAS-free single-phase dielectric fluids and require approved containment, recovery, and disposal planning.
Public legitimacy failure	Even technically efficient facilities can lose political and social license if viewed as extractive, opaque, or grid-destabilizing.	Use transparent ledgers, direct public reporting, community benefit structures, water legitimacy, and enforceable load-priority rules.

Controlling risk conclusion: The suite’s risks are manageable only when the governance layer is treated as the operating core rather than a reporting overlay. The architecture is not rescued by better meters if the project is still allowed to grow beyond the carrying capacity of the municipality.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Municipal Policy Version

For municipalities, utilities, water authorities, and public-interest regulators, the controlling finding is not that SYNC 3.0 is more efficient than worse alternatives. The controlling finding is that SYNC 3.0 only protects public systems when efficiency is subordinated to enforceable resource bounds. The facility must remain inside legally defensible limits for power, water, interconnection occupancy, and operational flexibility.

Institutional Position by Core Issue

- **Water legitimacy:** Pillar 1 is acceptable where basin stress justifies near-zero-water cooling, but it should be approved as a resource-protection measure, not as a generic green label.
- **Heat-use legitimacy:** Pillar 2 should be required only where a real local heat use exists and can be contractually and technically delivered.
- **Compute-efficiency legitimacy:** Pillar 3 is acceptable after rewrite, but unsupported neuromorphic generality claims should not enter public planning records.
- **Reporting legitimacy:** Pillar 4 baseline should remain direct, attested, and regulator-readable; privacy-enhanced tiers may be allowed without replacing public oversight.

Mandatory Governance Position

Pillars 5, 6, and 7 should be treated as the minimum institutional protection layer and incorporated as binding conditions of approval, interconnection, energization, and continued operation. Each facility should operate under a subscribed-load cap, occupy only auditable interconnection headroom, and maintain meaningful flexible-load capability sufficient to preserve municipal-system priority during stress.

Public-System Concern	Institutional Requirement	SYNC 3.0 Position
Grid saturation	Binding subscribed-load caps and transparent interconnection occupancy	Pillar 5 mandatory
Queue gaming and phantom capacity	Auditable interconnection ledger or regulator-held equivalent	Pillar 6 mandatory

Public-System Concern	Institutional Requirement	SYNC 3.0 Position
Peak reliability risk	Flexible-load and curtailment obligations with measurable response windows	Pillar 7 mandatory
Aquifer legitimacy	Near-zero operational cooling water use in stressed basins; no operational aquifer dependency	Pillar 1 required where stress is material
Opaque reporting	Direct attested metering, regulator-readable reporting, optional privacy-enhanced layer only	Pillar 4 baseline + optional enhanced tier
Public thermal benefit claims	Heat-ready design only where real local demand exists and delivery is feasible	Pillar 2 conditional
Social license	Community benefit, jobs, transparency, and continued-operation accountability	Pillars 8–10 support legitimacy

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Municipal Policy Version — Institutional Implementation Posture

A municipality, water authority, or utility evaluating SYNC 3.0 should treat it as a conditional approval framework rather than as a blanket development endorsement. The legal and policy question is whether the facility remains inside enforceable public limits while preserving ratepayer, reliability, and watershed protection.

1. **Approve Pillar 1 where basin stress justifies it**, preserving a near-zero cooling-water target of approximately 0.00 to 0.01 L/kWh for operational compute cooling.
2. **Require heat-use feasibility screening for Pillar 2**, but compel deployment only where local demand is physically near, technically matched, and contractually real.
3. **Require the corrected Pillar 3**, using measurable efficiency techniques and outputs rather than unsupported neuromorphic substitution claims.
4. **Keep Pillar 4 baseline direct and auditable**, allowing ZKP only as an optional enhancement where privacy needs exceed normal municipal reporting.
5. **Make Pillars 5, 6, and 7 binding conditions of interconnection and continued operation**, because they are the actual collapse-prevention layer.
6. **Allow Pillars 8–10 to operate as legitimacy and resilience amplifiers**, not as substitutes for hard public-system controls.

Institutional legal posture: No commercial deployment should be permitted to claim SYNC 3.0 legitimacy if it seeks cooling or compute advantages while refusing hard demand caps, auditable interconnection occupancy, regulator-readable telemetry, or enforceable flexible-load obligations.

Recommended Approval Conditions

- Interconnection agreement with explicit firm and flexible-load limits.
- Water permit or municipal approval confirming no operational aquifer dependence for cooling.

- Direct meter access or cryptographically attested reporting path available to regulators.
- Tested curtailment and rebound-control procedures before full energization.
- Community benefit and public transparency commitments activated before commercial operation.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>



Closing / Final Sign-Off

Across all three versions, the merged recommendation is unchanged: SYNC 3.0 should be authorized only as a **conditional deployment standard** with binding governance attachment. Efficiency may be approved where thermodynamics and siting justify it, but public-system protection must remain the controlling legal and operational condition of deployment.

The merged suite therefore supports the following final position: greenfield-only waterless compute can be approved; thermal symbiosis can be authorized conditionally; edge inference efficiency can be deployed under its corrected framing; plaintext attested telemetry should serve as the baseline reporting mode; and the project should be denied SYNC legitimacy if it refuses hard demand caps, interconnection ledger discipline, or flexible-load obligations.

Boards, investors, utilities, and municipalities should all treat the same principle as controlling: SYNC 3.0 is credible only when rebound demand is bounded by law, contract, and machine-enforced operating logic. Without those attachments, the suite no longer supports the claim that the architecture prevents municipal grid or aquifer collapse.

Final Audit Position: Approved only with mandatory governance conditions and staged deployment discipline.

Document Classification: Final Executive Technical, Capital, Utility, and Institutional Review.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon

Contact: yuna@symbioticlxi.org | 3107765359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

Final suite statement: SYNC 3.0 should move forward only where the public receives enforceable protection equal to or greater than the

private efficiency gain. That is the line between a resilient utility node and a well-packaged extraction model.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. >

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7). >

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon (yuna@symbioticlxi.org 3107765359)
<https://silxi.pages.dev>